

NAVY Declassification/Release Instructions on File

In reply refer to Initials
and No.

Approved For Release 2002/01/10 : CIA-RDP67-00059A000100130038-5

Executive Registry

0907

32X/00190

NAVY DEPARTMENT

OFFICE OF THE CHIEF OF NAVAL OPERATIONS

WASHINGTON 25, D. C.

SECRET

3029

X

1 July 1948

CIA 820
110

MEMORANDUM FOR THE DIRECTOR OF CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE,
(Attention: ICAPS).

Subject: Verbatim Minutes of Meeting held in Room 7117, Federal
Works Building on Friday, 16 June 1948, at 2:00 p.m.

1. The following discrepancies in the statements made by Rear
Admiral T. B. Inglis are noted and it is requested they be corrected.
Paragraphs in question are correctly quoted below in full.

(a) Page 10, second statement by Admiral Inglis should read:

"As far as I am concerned it is all right with me if the
air information is produced by the AID, which is a joint Navy-
Air Force enterprise, and as long as they continue to function
and produce those things for the NIS we can let this decision
about responsibility ride until Mr. Forrestal puts out his
clarifying directive. If that isn't satisfactory we had
better go ahead with this."

Page 10, third statement by Admiral Inglis should read:

"That is all right with me, but I thought it was coming up
for discussion today. This volume here is an outline of the NIS,
and on one page it gives the tentative allocation of responsibility
for the preparation of NIS. There are four items here which I be-
lieve the AID is working on. One has to do with civil air
facilities, another has to do with military air business, etc.
It is the type of work that AID is working on now. Now Navy has
dissented from allocating the responsibility of that to the Air
Force without any qualifying phrases. That dissent is still in
a state of inanimate suspension and has not been reconciled be-
cause it is tied up with this business which is on Mr. Forrestal's
desk. I don't think you care particularly as long as the work is
continued."


Page 10, fourth statement by Admiral Inglis should read:

"Not indefinitely, but if you are willing to let it rest in
that state of inanimate suspension as to the designation of respon-
sibility, but that AID continue to produce the work, and are going
to continue the work, that is acceptable with us."

SECRET

SECRET

Approved For Release 2002/01/10 : CIA-RDP67-00059A000100130038-5




(b) Page 11, first statement by Admiral Inglis should read:

"There is no need for an overlap because it is AID stuff we are talking about."

Page 11, second statement by Admiral Inglis should read:

"That allocation ~~has~~ not been formally agreed to and if the lack of a formal agreement interferes with the work, then it is serious and should be resolved right away. But if it is not we can continue."

2. The title page states that the meeting was held on Friday, 16 June. In fact, the 16th of June fell on Wednesday.


J. M. OCKER,
Captain, U.S.N.

SECRET

Send out correction

Next to the last statement in the minutes, page 11, should read:

"I don't see how the lack of an agreement on this point
could affect the continuation of the NIS program."

70 Sept

For the Record

I took a call from Mr Roger Townsend of OAS today. His inquiry was to find out, for record keeping purposes, what sub-agencies or what appendage agencies should be classed as I.A.C. and non - I.A.C. agencies.

My response was that if the agency was wholly sponsored by one or more of the IAC agencies (State, Army, Navy, Air, A.E.C. and J.C.S.) without outside participation, it should be classed as an IAC agency. If there is ~~outside~~ sponsorship of the agency by other than ^{the} IAC then it should be classed as non - IAC. Thus the National Security Resources Board is ~~not~~ non - IAC. whereas the Research and Development Board is ~~an~~ IAC.

CCD.